

# REVISION 5

---

Dated 1 March 2018



International Automotive Task Force

## **TRANSITION STRATEGY** **ISO/TS 16949 › IATF 16949**

---

[www.iatfglobaloversight.org](http://www.iatfglobaloversight.org)

# CONTENTS

Foreword	3
Revision 1 – dated 3 October 2016	4
Revision 2 – dated 21 November 2016	4
Revision 3 – dated 19 June 2017	4
Revision 4 – dated 14 October 2017	5
Revision 5 – dated 1 March 2018	5
Transition Timing Requirements	6
Transition Audit Requirements	8
Transition Audit Team Requirements for Certification Bodies	10
Transition Audit Nonconformity Management	11
IATF 16949 Certificate Issuance	11
Initial Certification to IATF 16949	12
Transition FAQs	14
Global Certification Body Waivers for the Transition Period	19
Contacts	20

## FOREWORD

The First Edition of IATF 16949 herein referred to as “IATF 16949” represents the revised automotive quality management system standard developed by the International Automotive Task Force (IATF).

This revised standard cancels and replaces ISO/TS 16949:2009.

Certificates to ISO/TS 16949:2009 will no longer be valid after 14th September 2018.

This document provides guidance for the transition from ISO/TS 16949:2009 to IATF 16949 and has been approved by the IATF.

This document is applicable to all relevant parties (e.g. organizations certified and/or using ISO/TS 16949, certification bodies and auditors) and supersedes the previously communicated IATF transition plan posted on the IATF Global Oversight website in April 2016.

Additional clarifications to this strategy can be expected in future revisions of this document.

## REVISION 1 – DATED 3 OCTOBER 2016

This revised Transition Strategy document incorporates Frequently Asked Questions (FAQs) that were approved by the IATF. Additionally minor grammatical errors were corrected and

- a harmonization of two misleading timing requirements on page 4 and page 10 (concerning the failure to conduct a transition audit to IATF 16949 in-time) was introduced
- the misunderstanding of the last bullet point on page 4 (concerning the question at what audits a transition audit is permitted) was eliminated
- the certification body will be permitted to use one auditor from the transition audit team to participate in the following surveillance audits as audit team member
- a clarification was added to clarify that a (new) subsequent audit cycle starts from the last day of the transition audit.

## REVISION 2 – DATED 21 NOVEMBER 2016

This second revision of this Transition Strategy document incorporates changes to the transition audit team requirements.

## REVISION 3 – DATED 19 JUNE 2017

This third revision of this Transition Strategy document incorporates changes to the requirement(s) for submitting documentation for the off-site documentation review. The organization is required to submit documentation to allow the certification body to conduct an off-site documentation review.

## REVISION 4 – DATED 14 OCTOBER 2017

This fourth revision of this Transition Strategy document incorporates changes based on feedback received from the September IATF 16949 Stakeholder Conference:

- allowable timing for surveillance and recertification audits to remove the requirement for the audits to be conducted only within -1 month, -2 months and -3 months (see page 6)
- clarify how to handle situations where the organization fails to conduct a transition audit on time (see page 6 and 7);
- clarify the off-site documentation review requirements and that the audit planning shall determine sufficient readiness to proceed with a transition audit (page 8)
- added new option E for situations where an organization had their certificate withdrawn (or the certificate expired) due to failure to implement corrective actions (see page 13);
- added new FAQ no. 19 (see page 18);
- add deviations for the Internal Witness Audit requirements during the transition period (see page 19).

## REVISION 5 – DATED 1 MARCH 2018

This fifth revision of this Transition Strategy document incorporates a new FAQ no. 20 that clarifies questions for those situations where a previously certified ISO/TS 16949 organization has to start over with an initial certification audit to IATF 16949.

# TRANSITION TIMING REQUIREMENTS

After 1 October 2017 no audits (initial, surveillance, recertification or transfer) shall be conducted to ISO/TS 16949:2009.

Organizations certified to ISO/TS 16949:2009 shall transition to the new IATF 16949, through a transition audit in line with the current audit cycle for ISO/TS 16949:2009 (i.e. at a regularly scheduled recertification audit or surveillance audit), according to the allowable timing requirements defined in the IATF Rules, section 5.1.1.

A transition audit can only be conducted if the organization has a currently valid ISO/TS 16949 certificate.

---

*NOTE: The previously communicated "Option 2" has been withdrawn.*

---

## The timing requirements are as follows:

- If the next regularly scheduled audit was to be an annual surveillance audit, the transition audit shall meet the relevant timing for a 6 month (~~-1 month~~ / +1 month), 9 month (~~-2 months~~ / +1 month) or 12 month (~~-3 months~~ / +1 month) audit cycle. The transition audit can be performed as early as needed without requesting a waiver from the relevant IATF Oversight Office. In situations where the timing cannot be met, the certification body shall initiate the decertification process according to the IATF Rules, section 8.1 e). The relevant onsite audit shall be the rescheduled transition audit according to the IATF Rules, section 8.4. Failure to conduct a transition audit within a maximum of 90 calendar days from the start of the decertification process requires the organization to start over with an initial certification audit with the following approved deviation:
  - No stage 1 readiness review is required, as long as the initial certification audit to IATF 16949 is conducted within six (6) months from the date of the certificate withdrawal or expiration of the ISO/TS 16949 certificate (refer to point D on page 13 **and FAQ no. 20**). In this situation, the certification body is not required to request a waiver from the relevant IATF Oversight Office.
- If the next regularly scheduled audit was to be a recertification audit, the allowable timing for this transition audit shall meet the recertification timing requirements for recertification audits according to the IATF Rules, section 5.1.1 (~~-3 months~~ / +0 days). The transition audit can be performed earlier than -3 months without requesting a waiver from the relevant IATF Oversight Office. In situations where the timing (+0 days) cannot be met, the certification body shall start over with an initial certification audit with the following approved deviation:

- No stage 1 readiness review is required, as long as the initial certification audit to IATF 16949 is conducted within six (6) months from the date of the certificate withdrawal or expiration of the ISO/TS 16949 certificate (refer to point D on page 13 and FAQ no. 20). In this situation, the certification body is not required to request a waiver from the relevant IATF Oversight Office.
- Failure to conduct a transition audit according to the timing in the IATF Rules, section 5.11 (or the timing of the decertification process in the IATF Rules, section 8.4) requires the organization to start over with an initial certification audit with the following approved deviation:
  - No stage 1 readiness review is required, as long as the initial certification audit to IATF 16949 is conducted within 18 months of the organization's last audit against ISO/TS 16949:2009 (please see also point D on page 10). In this situation the certification body is not required to request a waiver from the relevant IATF Oversight Office.

#### **Organizations certified to ISO/TS 16949:2009 cannot transition to IATF 16949:**

- at a transfer audit to a new IATF-recognized certification body,
- at a special audit.

## TRANSITION AUDIT REQUIREMENTS

The transition audit shall be the duration of a recertification audit according to the IATF Rules, Table 5.2. A (new) subsequent audit cycle starts from the last day of the transition audit.

The transition audit shall be a full systems audit equivalent to a recertification audit and shall comply with all requirements defined in the IATF Rules, section 6.8.

The audit planning process shall comply with all applicable requirements defined in the IATF Rules, section 5.7 with the following specific requirements:

- An off-site documentation review shall be performed for every ISO/TS 16949 certified manufacturing site and supporting function(s) on-site or remote, and shall be conducted prior to their transition audit. This off-site documentation review shall include as a minimum a review of the client's quality management system documentation (i.e. quality manual and procedures), including the evidences about conformity to IATF 16949 requirements and the gap analysis for those supporting function(s) on-site or remote that have not completed an IATF 16949 transition audit (see last bullet point on the following page 9).

Based upon the the review and analysis of the required information the certification body shall determine if the client has sufficient readiness to proceed with the transition audit. The decision shall be documented and retained as part of the transition audit record(s).

Based upon the provided information by the client the certification body shall determine critical areas to be prioritized based upon the conformity to the IATF 16949 requirements, risk to the customer, performance trends, and criticality of the process(es).

---

*NOTE: The IATF has withdrawn the previously communicated mandatory additional 0.5 – 1.0 days for each transition audit.*

---

If the client does not provide the minimum required information for the off-site documentation review prior to the audit to the certification body, the audit shall not begin.

It is at the discretion of the certification body to schedule additional audit days on-site to conduct an additional in-depth review of the documentation provided by the client prior to the audit.

If the transition audit cannot be conducted within the required timeframe, the client shall start over with an initial audit.



All supporting functions on site or remote shall be included in the transition process in line with the current ISO/TS 16949:2009 audit cycle and shall be included at the transition audit.

- In exceptional circumstances, the remote supporting function may not have completed a transition audit to IATF 16949 prior to the transition audit at the manufacturing site(s) it supports. In this situation, a positive certification decision can be made to allow the manufacturing site to be certified to IATF 16949.
- To ensure clarity of which supporting functions have been audited and to which version of the automotive standard (i.e. IATF 16949 or ISO/TS 16949:2009), the transition audit report of the manufacturing site shall:
  - list all remote supporting functions,
  - list which version of the automotive standard the remote supporting function was audited to (ISO/TS 16949:2009 or IATF 16949), and
  - list the completion date of these audit(s) at the remote supporting function(s).
- If the last audit at the remote supporting function was an audit to ISO/TS 16949:2009, the report shall include the scheduled or planned date for the transition audit to IATF 16949. The audit report of the remote support location shall also include clear statements about which version of the automotive standard the last internal system audit was conducted to (ISO/TS 16949:2009 or IATF 16949).
- In exceptional circumstances, where the remote supporting function has not completed a transition audit to IATF 16949 prior to the transition audit at the relevant manufacturing site, the organization shall ensure a complete gap analysis, including a detailed action plan to fulfil the applicable requirements of IATF 16949, is available at the manufacturing site’s audit.
  - In situations where the organization does not provide the gap analysis and detailed action plan, the audit at the manufacturing site shall be considered failed and the site shall be required to undertake a full initial audit.

# TRANSITION AUDIT TEAM REQUIREMENTS FOR CERTIFICATION BODIES

A transition audit shall only be conducted by qualified 3rd party auditors who have already demonstrated their competence to audit against IATF 16949. Auditors shall therefore pass both: the IATF 16949 and IATF Rules quizzes in the IATF ADP system before they are allowed to conduct transition audits and initial certification audits according to IATF 16949.

## The IATF requires:

- all active auditors registered in the IATF ADP must pass (with pass being 80% or above) both the IATF 16949 and IATF Rules quizzes before they are allowed to conduct transition audits.
- by 30th June 2017, all active auditors registered in the IATF ADP must pass (including any necessary retakes) the IATF 16949 and IATF Rules or they will be subject to deactivation.
- additionally, all auditors in the IATF ADP who have a 3-XX or a 4-ADP certificate must complete the IATF 16949 and IATF Rules training and quizzes prior to scheduling for an IATF ADP proctored assessment session.

## The certification body shall comply with all requirements for establishing an audit team as defined in the IATF Rules, section 5.6 for a recertification audit with the following specific requirements / approved deviations for transition audits:

- the certification body may appoint more than one auditor from the previous audit cycle (which can be 1 year, 2 years or 3 years in length) to participate in the transition audit and subsequent surveillance audits as an audit team member, including as the audit team leader (clarification to FAQ no. 11). In this situation the certification body is not required to request a waiver from the relevant IATF Oversight Office.

The certification body may appoint one (1) auditor in cases where the audit days exceed five (5), but no more than a maximum of seven (7) days. In this situation the certification body is not required to request a waiver.

# TRANSITION AUDIT NONCONFORMITY MANAGEMENT

When the certification body identifies nonconformities at the transition audit, the client and the certification body shall comply with all requirements:

- for the nonconformity management process as defined in the IATF Rules, section 5.11.
- for the initiation of the decertification process as defined in the IATF Rules, section 8.1 c) and IATF Rules, section 8.2. If major nonconformities are identified at the transition audit, the suspension decision shall be in accordance with IATF Rules, section 8.3.

## IATF 16949 CERTIFICATE ISSUANCE

The certification body shall comply with all requirements of the certification decision process as defined in the IATF Rules, section 5.12 with the following specific requirements / approved deviations for transition audits:

- The certification body can make a positive certification decision after the expiration date of the existing ISO/TS 16949:2009 certificate, as long as the decision is made within a maximum of 120 calendar days from the last day of the transition audit.

---

*NOTE: This might result in a period where the client's ISO/TS 16949:2009 certificate has expired and no valid certificate exists before a new IATF 16949 certificate is then issued.*

---

Upon a positive certification decision the certification body shall issue an IATF 16949 certificate to the organization. This certificate shall include the issue date (date of the positive certification decision) and the expiration date (issue date plus a maximum of three (3) years minus one (1) day). This new certificate shall bear a new IATF number.

---

*NOTE: The current ISO/TS 16949 certificate (if it is still in an "issued" status), is consequently automatically superseded in the IATF database.*

---

## INITIAL CERTIFICATION TO IATF 16949

All organizations seeking initial certification can be certified to ISO/TS 16949:2009 until 1 October 2017 however the ISO/TS 16949:2009 certificate will only be valid until 14 September 2018.

After 1 October 2017, the organization can ONLY be audited and certified to IATF 16949 standard.

In determining the number of audit days for organizations seeking initial certification to IATF 16949, reductions may be granted for the following situations/organizations:

### **A** Organizations with an existing ISO 9001 certification:

The initial stage 2 audit days for an upgrade to IATF 16949 from an existing ISO 9001:2015 shall not be reduced by more than 30% of the initial stage 2 audit days defined in the IATF Rules, section 5.2, Table 5.2.

- The certification body for the IATF 16949 certification shall be the same as for the existing ISO 9001:2015 certification.
- In situations where an ISO 9001:2015 certified client transfers to a new certification body, at least one (1) surveillance audit shall be performed to ISO 9001:2015 by the new certification body before the upgrade audit to IATF 16949 is performed.
- If the scope is expanded at the initial certification audit, no upgrade discount shall be applied. 100% of the required initial audit stage 2 days shall be applied.

If the organization has an existing valid ISO 9001:2008 certificate, no upgrade discount shall be applied. 100% of the required initial stage 2 audit days shall be applied.

### **B** Organizations with an existing VDA 6.1 and ISO 9001 certification:

The initial stage 2 audit days for an upgrade to IATF 16949 from VDA 6.1 and ISO 9001:2015 shall not be reduced by more than 50% of the initial stage 2 audit days defined in the IATF Rules section 5.2, Table 5.2.

- If an organization has a valid VDA 6.1 and ISO 9001:2008 certificate, no upgrade discount shall be applied. 100% of the required initial audit stage 2 days shall be applied.
- If the scope is expanded, no upgrade discount shall be applied. 100% of the required initial audit stage 2 days shall be applied.

### **C Organizations with an existing valid Letter of Conformance to ISO/TS 16949:**

The upgrade discount as defined in the IATF Rules, section 5.14.4 shall not be applied to an organization having an existing valid Letter of Conformance to ISO/TS 16949:2009. 100% of the required initial stage 2 audit days shall be applied.

### **D Organizations with a previously withdrawn or expired ISO/TS 16949 certificate due to failure to transition on time:**

The initial stage 2 audit days for an organization having a withdrawn or expired ISO/TS 16949:2009 certificate shall not be equivalent to recertification audit days in Rules, section 5.4 h). The audit days shall be equivalent to initial audit days.

#### **However:**

- No stage 1 readiness review is required if the certification body for the initial certification audit is the same that withdrew the certificate or issued the expired certificate (refer to FAQ no. 20). Concerning the timing requirement, please see page 4 “Failure to conduct a transition audit according to the timing”.
- If the above conditions cannot be met, an onsite stage 1 readiness review is required.

### **E Organizations with a previously withdrawn ISO/TS 16949 certificate due to failure to effectively implement corrective actions from an IATF 16949 transition audit (or an ISO/TS 16949 certificate which expired during the nonconformity management process)**

The organization shall complete a special audit before an initial audit to IATF 16949. The initial audit can be conducted by either the existing certification body or by another IATF recognized certification body. The special audit shall verify the effective implementation of actions related to the issue(s) that led to the certificate withdraw (or certificate expiration).

In cases where the organization decides to stay with the existing certification body, the initial stage 2 audit shall be equivalent to recertification audit days, as long as no more than 12 months has passed since the previous certificate was withdrawn (or expired). No stage 1 readiness review is required. If more than 12 months has passed, the stage 2 audit days shall not be equivalent to recertification audit days and a stage 1 readiness review is required.

# TRANSITION FAQs

## **1 What is the earliest possible date to conduct a transition audit from ISO/TS 16949:2009 to IATF 16949:2016?**

The earliest possible date to conduct a transition audit (or initial audit) to IATF 16949:2016 is 1st January 2017.

## **2 The Transition Strategy states an organization cannot transfer and have a transition audit to IATF 16949 at the same time. Can an certified ISO/TS 16949 organization transfer to a new certification body and have the new certification body conduct an initial certification audit instead?**

If the organization has a valid ISO/TS 16949:2009 certificate, then the intent of the Transition Strategy would prohibit any new certification body from accepting the certified organization as a new client.

If the organization has cancelled its contract with the existing certification body and is no longer certified to ISO/TS 16949:2009, then the organization would be allowed to transfer to a new IATF-recognized certification body.

## **3 Are organizations required to conduct a management review according to IATF 16949 prior to the start of the transition audit?**

The organization is required to demonstrate that all requirements of the management review have been met prior to the transition audit conducted by an IATF-recognized certification body.

This should be demonstrated through a complete new management review against IATF 16949:2016. However at the time of the transition audit this may be accomplished through the combination of a previous management review in compliance with the ISO/TS 16949:2009 requirements and a supplemental management review in compliance with the additional requirements of IATF 16949:2016.

**4 Are organizations required to conduct a full internal system audit against IATF 16949 prior to the start of the transition audit?**

The organization is required to demonstrate compliance to IATF 16949:2016 through a complete internal system audit against the requirements of IATF 16949:2016 prior to the transition audit conducted by an IATF-recognized certification body.

This should be demonstrated through a complete full system audit against IATF 16949:2016. However at the time of the transition audit this may be accomplished through the combination of a previous system audits with the ISO/TS 16949:2009 requirements and a supplemental system audits in compliance with the additional requirements of IATF 16949:2016.

**5 Are Certification Bodies permitted to conduct a pre-audit prior to the start of the transition audit?**

No, this is not permitted. However the certification body might be required to include a minimum of 0.5 additional audit days on site prior to the start of the audit to collect and review missing audit planning information (see page 5 - transition audit requirement).

**6 Are Certification Bodies permitted to conduct a gap assessment prior to the start of the transition audit?**

No, this is not permitted.

**7 Is a documentation review required for every site within a corporate scheme?**

Yes, every site has to provide the relevant required documentation even within a corporate scheme with a common quality management system.

**8 Are organizations required to collect 12 months data against IATF 16949 new processes prior to the start of the transition audit?**

No, this is not required.

**9 Are the normal reductions (e.g. -20%, -30% etc.) allowed for transition audits for sites which are part of a corporate certification scheme?**

Yes, the transition audits are to be calculated according to the same requirements as recertification audits.

**10 When a single certification body auditor is scheduled for a seven (7) man day audit, does the audit have to be conducted over consecutive days? I.e. can the audit be scheduled Monday - Friday and then Monday-Tuesday the following week?**

Where one (1) auditor is being assigned and the total number of audit days exceeds five (5), the audit of the site shall be planned and conducted over consecutive calendar days per IATF Rules, clause 5.7. However, for the transition audit, the IATF will allow the audit of the site to be planned and conducted based on the consecutive working days of the site.

**11 The Transition Strategy requirements permit that more than one auditor can participate in the transition audit. Can these auditors continue to participate in the subsequent surveillance audits as well?**

Yes, the certification body may appoint more than one auditor from the previous audit cycle (which can be 1 year, 2 years or 3 years in length) to participate in the transition audit and subsequent surveillance audits as an audit team member, including as the audit team leader. In this situation the certification body is not required to request a waiver from the relevant IATF Oversight Office.

**12 What is the expectation for a certification body auditor who reviews the completed gap analysis and detailed action plan for a remote supporting function that has not completed a transition audit to IATF 16949 prior to the transition audit at the relevant manufacturing site?**

The expectation is that the client provides to the certification body audit team a copy of the gap analysis document, including a current, up-to-date action plan (i.e. with timing, responsibilities assigned and implementation status). This information shall be retained by the certification body auditor together with the audit record. This is accepted as evidence that the remote support location is knowledgeable of the IATF 16949 standard, has completed an analysis of their current system to the new requirements, and is implementing an action plan to close the gaps. This information is necessary to support the certification decision function in making a decision whether or not to issue an IATF 16949 certificate to the manufacturing site.



**13 What happens to the existing ISO/TS 16949 certificate if one or more nonconformities of the transition audit to IATF 16949 are not either 100% resolved or closed within the required timeframe?**

The certification body shall follow all requirements of the IATF Rules, section 5.11. In this case, the transition audit shall be considered “failed” and the IATF database shall be updated accordingly. The certification decision shall be negative which means the ISO/TS 16949:2009 certificate is withdrawn and the client has to start over with an initial certification audit.

**14 Is it allowed to reduce the audit man days for the transition audit if the site is already certified according to ISO 9001:2015 and ISO/TS 16949:2009?**

No further reductions to the transition audit can be applied in this situation. The only possible reduction would be allowed if the client decides to upgrade from ISO 9001:2015 to IATF 16949 which will result in a 30% reduction on initial stage 2 audit days.

**15 Can a certification body conduct separate audits for ISO 9001:2015 and the supplemental requirements of IATF 16949:2016?**

No, this is not permitted. IATF 16949 cannot be considered a stand-alone QMS Standard but has to be comprehended as a supplement to and used in conjunction with ISO 9001:2015.

**16 If the organization (with valid ISO/TS 16949 certificate) is required to have a special audit (as per IATF Rules, section 7.2) after 1st October 2017, is the special audit conducted to ISO/TS 16949 or IATF 16949?**

The scope of the special audit will be the standard the organization is currently certified to. In this situation the special audit will be conducted against ISO/TS 16949.

**17 If an organization has already signed a contract with a new certification body to transfer the site(s) - before the "revised transition strategy" was released on 10 August 2016 - will the organization be permitted in this exceptional circumstance to transfer from ISO/TS 16949 to IATF 16949 and have a transition audit at the same time?**

The transition audit to IATF 16949 for an existing certified organization shall only occur with their existing certification body that certified the organization to ISO/TS 16949. However, the IATF recognizes that contracts may have been signed prior to the release of the revised Transition Strategy on 10 August 2016. In this exceptional case, the organizations are permitted to continue with the transfer process to a new certification body and have their transition audit to IATF 16949.

In this situation all requirements for a transfer audit shall be applied, however the audit days shall be equivalent to a stage 2 audit instead of equivalent to a recertification audit. The certification body is required to obtain approval from the relevant Oversight office prior to the transition audit and the waiver request shall include relevant evidence to demonstrate the contract was signed on or before 10 August 2016. The certification body shall enter this audit as a transition audit with the waiver number and the previous IATF number in the IATF database.

**18 Can a newly qualified auditor (3-XX-...) for IATF 16949:2016 also conduct audits against ISO/TS 16949:2009?**

No, this is not permitted. Newly qualified auditors (3-XX-...) are qualified to conduct audits against IATF 16949:2016 according to the IATF Rules 5th Edition. Therefore these new auditors are not permitted to conduct audits against ISO/TS 16949:2009.

**19 How does an organization demonstrate compliance to the new IATF 16949 requirements when process changes were recently implemented (before the transition or initial audit to IATF 16949)?**

It is possible that the changes to the quality management system (i.e. due to new requirements in IATF 16949) were implemented, but not enough time has passed to show/ demonstrate effective implementation of the new requirement. In this situation, the CB auditor shall make a note in the audit report to ensure wider/deeper sampling of the process occurs at the next IATF 16949 audit.

**NEW****20 If a previously certified ISO/TS 16949 organization has to start over with an initial certification audit to IATF 16949, is an off-site documentation review required?**

Yes. If the stage 1 readiness review is waived (see section Initial Certification to IATF 16949, item D or item E), then an off-site documentation review is required along with the normal audit planning process required in the IATF Rules 5th Edition, section 5.7. A gap analysis for those supporting function(s), on-site or remote, that have not completed an IATF transition audit is also required.

In cases where a stage 1 readiness review is required, then a separate off-site documentation review is not required as the stage 1 readiness review already covers the same requirements (see IATF Rules 5th Edition, section 6.5.1, item d-f). However, a gap analysis for those supporting function(s), on-site or remote, that have not completed an IATF transition audit is still required as part of the stage 1 readiness review.

## GLOBAL CERTIFICATION BODY WAIVERS FOR THE TRANSITION PERIOD

### Certification Body Internal Witness Audits

The following deviations are permitted related to the internal witness audit process, as long as the internal witness audit would normally occur during the (remaining) transition period until mid of September 2018 (with an additional extension until the end of December 2018):

- Auditors are not required to be witnessed within six (6) months of the initial assessment date within the online IATF auditor development process (i.e. proctored event) as per IATF Rules 5th Edition, clause 4.4 c)
- The maximum duration between witness audits can be greater than six (6) years, as long as the auditor was not prioritized based upon the annual analysis of data.

The certification body shall continue to meet all other requirements in IATF Rules 5th Edition sections 4.3.1 and 4.4 related to the internal witness audit process.

## CONTACTS



### ANFIA

Contact: Marco Mantoan  
 Corso Galileo Ferraris, 61  
 10128 Torino  
 Italy  
 Tel: +39 011 545160  
 E-mail: [servizi.qualita@anfia.it](mailto:servizi.qualita@anfia.it)  
 Site: [www.anfia.it](http://www.anfia.it)



### SMMT

Contact: Niall Kealey  
 2680 Kings Court  
 Birmingham Business Park  
 Birmingham B37 7YE  
 United Kingdom  
 Tel: +44 121 717 6600  
 E-mail: [nkealey@smtt.co.uk](mailto:nkealey@smtt.co.uk)  
 Site: [www.smttoversight.co.uk](http://www.smttoversight.co.uk)



### IAOB

Contact: Dale Hammer  
 26200 Lahser Road, Suite 320  
 Southfield Michigan 48033  
 USA  
 Tel: +1 248 799 3939 358 9797  
 E-mail: [dhammer@iaob.org](mailto:dhammer@iaob.org)  
 Site: [www.iaob.org](http://www.iaob.org)



### VDA QMC

Contact: Norbert Hass  
 Behrenstrasse 35  
 10117 Berlin  
 Germany  
 Tel: +49 30 8978 42 - 240  
 E-mail: [hass@vda-qmc.de](mailto:hass@vda-qmc.de)  
 Site: [www.vda-qmc.de](http://www.vda-qmc.de)



### IATF France

Contact: Jean-Charles Sarbach  
 79 rue Jean Jacques Rousseau  
 92158 Suresnes Cedex  
 France  
 Tel: +33 1 46 25 02 64  
 E-mail: [jcsarbach@fielv.fr](mailto:jcsarbach@fielv.fr)  
 Site: [www.iatf-france.com](http://www.iatf-france.com)